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In 1999, Cal Poly and Stanford University developed the CubeSat specifications to promote and develop the skills necessary for the design, manufacture, and testing of small satellites intended for low Earth orbit (LEO).

- Since 2007, science based satellites
- Science selected by C3PO constraints

**IceCube ready for ISS** – radiometer to study ice clouds (NASA GSFC)

**3U Cubesat** (MicroMas satellite for temperature and pressure profiling)

**AeroCube Fire Detection** (CubeSat Developers’ Workshop, April 2014)
Why did Small Sats get popular?

- The advent of the CubeSat standard
- Miniaturization of propulsion, power systems or electronics
- Frequent and cheaper launch opportunities by emerging companies such as SpaceX and RocketDyne
- Hosted payload opportunities on traditional rockets using the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) Secondary Payload Adapter (ESPA)
- Deployment mechanisms for CubeSat payloads using the PPOD launcher or NanoRacks
- Increasing availability of ground stations

Deployment and operation of large numbers of small satellites more feasible than it ever used to be
Why Multiple Satellites?

• **Distributed Space Missions (DSMs):** Constellations, formations, ad-hoc constellation - home or hetero, cellularized systems, federated satellites

• **Performance:** Improve sampling and range in spatial (synthetic apertures), temporal (constellations), spectral (fractionated S/C), angular (formations) dimensions

• **Cost:** Need more inter-operability planning, autonomy, scheduling commands + data, ground station networks

• **Operational Capabilities:** Flexibility, Reconfigurability, Scalability, etc.

• **Better Design:** Many conflicting variables and objectives thus better methods needed in Phase A+ - coupled models, machine learning, planning/scheduling methods, etc.
EO Constellation Coverage

**NASA’s Tradespace Analysis Tool for Constellations:**

Landsat w/ 1-8 sats => 20 uniform Walker and 8 Ad-Hoc constellations
Area of Interest: USGS Landsat grid of 17000 land/coastal images.
TAT-C takes <15 hours of run-time compared to STK’s 12 days.

All Coverage products are improved by Constellations

Scheduling pointing ops for narrow sensors on LEO sats to maximize global coverage + minimize image distortion under attitude control, cloud cover, etc. constraints.

Landsat images covered in 12 hours, by 2 sats always pointing nadir, in a single plane

VS.

Landsat images covered in 12 hours, by 2 sats pointed via the dynamic programming algorithm, in a single plane
Cubesat onboard processing capability has grown by Moore’s Law

- Space Cube Mini (commercial Xilinx V5 or radiation hardened Space-grade Virtex-5QV) can process SAR images and compress more than 6x
- NASA GSFC’s Core Flight Software (used on LADEE, LRO, GPM, MMS among others) has been demonstrated on the Raspberry Pi 3
- Open source languages such as PLEXIL and government access software such as CASPER (EO-1) are being used for autonomous scheduling on ISS, rovers
- Images can be processed and next observations automatically scheduled on satellites

Reference:
- https://cfs.gsfc.nasa.gov/
- https://directory.eoportal.org/web/eoportal/satellite-missions/i/ipsatex
- http://casper.jpl.nasa.gov/
Unmanned Aircraft System (UAS) Traffic Management (UTM)

Enabling Civilian Low-Altitude Airspace and Unmanned Aircraft System Operations

- Coordinated communication of state info between UAV to ground stations using Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast (ADS-B)
- Detailed model of range and signal fidelity of every UAV with respect to operator, other UAVs and manned aircraft
- Adding satellites to the model, especially those with intelligent and dynamic pointing abilities, will create a Sensor Web of remote sensing capability.

Manned and unmanned aerial remote sensing has been prevalent for decades for targeted regions and instruments (called campaigns)

**UAV campaign vs. Cubesat video**

- **UAV similarities with Cubesats:** Low size, weight and power; quick to design and deploy
- **Pros over satellites:** Higher resolution, controlled coverage – spatial and temporal, easy to replace,
- **Cons that can be supported by satellites:** Limited spatial and temporal coverage

All products in Multi-Angular Remote Sensing are improved by co-pointing formations

Bi-Directional Reflectance Distribution Function Measurements using UAVs vs. satellite formations: A major limitation is the angular under-sampling of the Earth locally. Typically, estimated only locally using airplanes, UAVs or goniometers. Causes large uncertainty in albedo, carbon budget, Earth radiation.

A potential solution is formation flight using narrow field of view sensors.

To create an interoperable environment for a diverse set of satellite, airborne and ground sensors via the use of software and the Internet. To better understand intrinsically dynamic, complex, and interactive Earth-science processes and delivered globally via Web 2.0 tools.

Open access tools such as Google Earth can then be used for visualization and calibration.

+ UAVs…
+ small satellites/cubesats
+ new technologies in smaller, faster, better, cheaper

Reference:
https://sensorweb.nasa.gov/
Up to LEO range using a 1 W peak transmitter at 2 Kbps and a commercial UHF antenna. Can be uplink air2sat (for tracking relay) or downlink sat2air (for tasking).
**Proposed Demonstration:**

- UAVs, with complementary instruments w.r.t satellites, hover or wait at operator ground station within an hour’s flight radius of pre-defined ground calibration targets.
- Satellite (or each in constellation) processes images of locations immediately to indicate geographic coordinates of the image and cloud cover %.
- Satellite selects the images that need calibration (onboard processing) and broadcasts its coordinates (communication); UAV is expected to pick up the request signal.
- UAV flies to the broadcasted calibration target and makes measurements by flying around the spot at multiple altitudes to capture BRDF without cloud cover obstruction and with minimal time delay.
- UAV flies back to operator ground station with data, which can be used for calibrating the later downloaded satellite images.
Summary

- Small sats in large numbers allow scalability therefore collaborations and market flexibility, resilience therefore graceful degradation, low cost therefore risk appetite.
- Small sats, constellations. Autonomous re-planning and agile control are new technologies for Earth Observation and better science measurements, aside of being great tech demos and opportunities for education.
- UAVs have supported the Earth sciences for decades, but very locally and over small time periods. They can be better operated with satellite help and can help support satellite observations.
- Dynamic calibration of satellite imagery, for cloud cover and BRDF compensation, using UAVs is an ideal demonstration of the concept of a sensor web.
- Research collaborations in any aspect of this effort (UAVs vs. balloons? UAV simulations/data sharing? Geo-referencing or image processing? Multi-asset scheduling? Other case studies?) is very welcome.
Questions?

sreeja.nag@nasa.gov